

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/001,977	TANIMOTO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner,</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Pamela E Perkins	2822	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Pamela E Perkins. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Kurt Berger (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: February 11, 2004

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Agarwal et al. & Zeng et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant showed that prior art did not disclose calculating the volume integral. Examiner will conduct further search. Examiner initial agrees that volume integral does not is not disclosed in the references on record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See

Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required